Message

From: Sengco, Mario [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=4138218D625A4B6ABCD6C519817A6917-SENGCO, MARIO]

Sent: 8/1/2021 4:18:00 PM

To: Wirick, Holiday [wirick.holiday@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life

I just looked at the package submission in WATA. The actual date of the submission in WATA was June 18. The cover letter to Deb Thomas in WATA is dated June 15, 2021,

Mario

From: Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>

Sent: Friday, July 30, 2021 11:57 AM

To: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life

Hi Mario, where did you see that the ND WQS package came in on June 16? Just so I know for future reference and that I list the proper date in the approval letter.

Thanks, Holly

From: Sengco, Mario < Sengco. Mario@epa.gov >

Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 7:27 AM

To: Fleisig, Erica <Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov>; Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>

Cc: Todd, Andrew < Todd. Andrew@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Mario

From: Fleisig, Erica < Fleisig. Erica@epa.gov> **Sent:** Monday, July 26, 2021 9:24 AM

To: Sengco, Mario <Sengco.Mario@epa.gov>; Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>

Cc: Todd, Andrew < Todd. Andrew@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Erica Fleisig

Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA (202) 566-1057

From: Sengco, Mario < Sengco. Mario@epa.gov>

Sent: Monday, July 26, 2021 9:23 AM

To: Fleisig, Erica < Fleisig. Erica@epa.gov>; Wirick, Holiday < wirick. holiday@epa.gov>

Cc: Todd, Andrew < Todd. Andrew@epa.gov > Subject: RE: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
Mario
From: Fleisig, Erica < Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov > Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 7:50 PM To: Wirick, Holiday < wirick.holiday@epa.gov >; Sengco, Mario < Sengco.Mario@epa.gov > Cc: Todd, Andrew < Todd.Andrew@epa.gov >
Subject: RE: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life
Hi Holly,
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)
Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) Thank you!
Erica Fleisig Team Leader, Regional Water Quality Standards Branch Office of Science and Technology, U.S. EPA (202) 566-1057
From: Wirick, Holiday < wirick.holiday@epa.gov > Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:48 PM To: Sengco, Mario < Sengco.Mario@epa.gov > Cc: Fleisig, Erica < Fleisig.Erica@epa.gov >; Todd, Andrew < Todd.Andrew@epa.gov > Subject: Question about ND's chronic criterion for mercury for the protection of aquatic life
Hi Mario, I hope you had a great weekend.

,,,,	8	
	Ex. 5 Deliberative Pr	ocess (DP)
The state is revising i	ts chronic aquatic life criterion for mercu	ury from 0.012 ug/L to 0.88 ug/L total recoverable
(p. 16 attached).	Ex. 5 Delibe	rative Process (DP)
Ex. 5 Delibera	tive Process (DP)	
Ex	. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)	Pete said he used the mercury conversion
translator at: https://	/www3.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/metals_tra	nslator.pdf. The footnote to ND's Water Quality
Criteria Table says "E	xcept for the aquatic life values for meta	als, the values given in this appendix refer to the
total (dissolved plus	suspended) amount of each substance u	nless otherwise noted. For the aquatic life values
for metals, the value	s refer to the total recoverable method f	or ambient metals analyses."

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) the 1993 Protho memo which says (attached p. 28) "... the NPDES rule does not require that State water quality standards be in the total recoverable form; rather, the rule requires

permit writers to consider the translation between di	ffering metal forms in the calculation of the permit limit
so that a total recoverable limits can be established"	Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

I checked other R8 states' chronic ALC for mercury:

SD 0.77 ug/L

UT 0.012 ug/L

WY 0.77 ug/L*

MT 0.91 **

CO 0.01 ug/L***

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP) (which I shared with Pete Wax) the Biological Opinion from NMFS on ESA consultation for Idaho's toxics WQS, and NMFS's conclusion, that essentially says EPA's chronic WQC for mercury would not protect aquatic life and may even jeopardize the species, and recommends that Idaho move away from the organic number to a methyl mercury number.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks for your help on this issue.

Holly Wirick Water Quality Section U.S. EPA - Region 8 (303) 312-6238 (773) 882-1645 (cell)

*WY has similar footnote to ND. "Except for the aquatic life values for metals and where otherwise indicated
the values given refer to the total recoverable (dissolved plus suspended) amount of each substance. For
aquatic life values for metals, the values refer to dissolved amount."

**MT's footnote: Standards for metals (except aluminum) in surface water are based upon the analysis of samples following a "total recoverable" digestion procedure (EPA method 200.2, supplement 1, Rev 2.8, May 1994).

*** CO deleted EPA's recommended chronic ALC for mercury of 0.77 ug/L; the current water column standard of 0.01 ug/L total mercury remains in place and is intended to be implemented along side the fish tissue standard [0.3 mg methylmercury per kg fish tissue wet weight].